



**PLANETARE BEWEGUNG
FÜR MUTTER ERDE PBME**

**PLANETARY MOVEMENT FOR
MOTHER EARTH PMME**

**MOVIMIENTO PLANETARIO PARA LA
PACHAMAMA MPPM**

10th Newsletter

September 2014

Current news:

- **„BUMERANG - Zeitschrift für Patriarchatskritik“:**
“BOOMERANG - Magazine for the Critique of Patriarchy” -, is currently in the process of being founded at www.Fipaz.at. For those interested: please inform others and submit your contributions pertaining to the topic.
- New book: Claudia von Werlhof: „Nell`Età del Boomerang. Contributi alla Teoria Critica del Patriarcato“, Venice, Unicopli publ. 2014 (translation with contributions to PMME)

A special on Geo-Engineering

Content

General article from 2013:

„Geo-Engineering and the Planetary Movement for Mother Earth“

Current news of 2014:

- 1. Skyguards petition of 2013 pertaining to the investigation into „Geo-Engineering“ has officially been accepted by the petition committee of the European Parliament (Petitions-Text, Election EP 2014-Open letter)
- 2. The municipality of Shasta, California, files a suit demanding the investigation of Geo-Engineering taking place above their heads (*Call to action*, Report: J. Fraile), c.f. Toscany, Italy
- 3. A report from the international congress of „Climate Engineering“ in Berlin by PMME-board member Mathias Weiss.
- 4. A reminder: Geo-Engineering – the application of a military project for decades
- 5. The shutdown of HAARP
- 6. Initiative to found an Environmental Court

General article:

A speech presented in September 2013 by Claudia von Werlhof before 900 participants of the congress „Science meets Spirituality“ in Heidenheim, Germany. This speech was delivered a year ago – we, however, have not published it in the past since we thought it important to present Rosalie Bertell’s last two interviews from 2010, first. Since the delivery of Claudia’s speech, there have been several occurrences, which confirm the content and relevance of the Heidenheim talk. Therefore, the publication of the speech at this time perfectly fits the current „panorama“:

News of 2014:

- 1. The **Skyguards petition** „Civil Society against Geo-Engineering“, which was presented during a meeting of activists at the European Parliament in 2013 (s. 8th Information-Letter, Nov. 2013) was accepted by the petition committee of the EP in the spring of 2014! This essentially means that there will be an official investigation of the topic through the EP for the first time in 15 years! (s. Open letter for the EP-elections 2014). We are willing to offer our help and advice to the EP, if this is possible. Also, this procedure proves that our analysis of

the topic is not connected to any form of conspiracy theory; it would not have been taken seriously or led to an investigation by the EP otherwise!

See the text of our Skyguards member and activist Wayne Hall from Greece, who has been with us in Brussels:

European Parliament accepts Skyguards petition opposing aerial spraying/HAARP

By Wayne Hall
[COTO Report](#)

On 25th March 2014, national day of commemoration of the outbreak of the Greek Revolution of 1821, a pleasant surprise came to us from Brussels: Acceptance by the European Parliament of the petition on aerial spraying and HAARP, submitted a year ago by the Skyguards group following the two-day conference "[Beyond Theories of Weather Modification - Civil Society against Geo-Engineering](#)", that was held there at that time.

The President of the Petitions Committee, Erminia Mazzoni, wrote to us: "I would like to inform you that the Committee on Petitions considered your petition, and decided that the issues which you raise are admissible in accordance with the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament, insofar as the subject matter falls within the sphere of activities of the European Union.

The committee began its examination of your petition and decided to ask the European Commission to conduct a preliminary examination of the various aspects of the problem. The committee will continue its examination of your petition as soon as it is in receipt of the necessary information."

I think it would be appropriate for activists, active citizens and voters to draw the attention of candidates for election

to the European Parliament to the [Open letter](#) drawn up by the Skyguards group.



Skyguards und those involved in the Brussels meeting of 2013 have sent a letter to the candidates of the EP elections:

European Elections May 2014 – Open letter to candidates

Dear candidates for representation of European citizens from 2014 to 2019. You are seeking election to defend our interests and fundamental

rights at the highest level of democratic European administration: the European Parliament.

We represent thousands of citizens in dozens of associations in the European Union. Unfortunately we also form part of that large percentage of citizens who have lost faith in European institutions and in our political representatives. We believe that for the most part they serve corporations and other political interests detrimental to citizens. Although in the past we may have voted with some measure of hope, we are today disillusioned and not ready to sign blank cheques. We would therefore like to know what you will be willing to do for European citizens on a very serious subject: climate manipulation, an accomplished fact, exposed by our associations in the European Parliament last year.

An example of institutional indifference and disdain for citizens

On 8th and 9th April 2013, organizations from 17 countries in the European Union exposed to the European Parliament in a conference patronized by MEP Mrs. Tatjana Zdanoka, their concerns about clandestine aerial spraying of the European sky in the context of illegal ongoing climate manipulation / geoengineering programs, as a follow up to the Resolution of the European Parliament on “Environment, Security and Foreign Policy”, approved on 14th January 1999, on the basis of Report A4-005/99 by the Committee on Foreign Affairs, Security and Defense Policy.

In its point T and paragraph on “**HAARP – A military weapon system with devastating effects on climate**”, the Report made it explicit that: ‘despite the existing conventions military research is in progress on environmental manipulation as a weapon, as demonstrated for example by the Alaska-based HAARP system. Defined as a weapons system which disrupts the climate, outside of any legal framework, HAARP is a matter of global concern and has to be regarded as a serious threat to the environment, with an incalculable impact on human life’.

Our conference was entitled “*Beyond theories of climate modification - civil society vs geoengineering*”. All members of parliament from all political groups were invited to attend but in spite of the subject’s importance NONE did so. No representatives from the media came to the press conference after the event either.

A HOPE

Following the conference, a petition was presented to the Committee on Petitions of the European Parliament, demanding an independent investigation of facts - *concerning environmental matters and consumer protection* -, taking place without the knowledge and consent of citizens and in total defiance of the precautionary principle, which *violates fundamental rights of European citizens as set out in the Treaties, such as the right to health, the right to security, and the right to integrity, as well as the right to be informed*. We have just been notified that this

petition HAS BEEN ADMITTED. (See attached documents & www.skyguards-net.org)

Civil society is encouraged by this decision of the European Parliament, which gives hope. However the upcoming elections raise questions which would need to be clarified before May 25th. In this perspective and considering that this serious matter also entails an unconstitutional attack on national sovereignty, and in particular on food sovereignty, **we would like to know if you, the 2014 candidates, are willing to:**

- (1) support the Committee on Petitions on its decision to investigate the facts that are the subject of our indictment
 - (2) promote a public debate on the subject
 - (3) legislate to ban all geoengineering and climate manipulation activity in Europe, implying that each nation must reacquire sovereignty over its sky;
 - (4) legislate the submission of military research programs to democratic and parliamentary control;
 - and (5) demand full implementation of the abovementioned European Parliament Resolution on "Environment, Security and Foreign Policy".
- In anticipation of a prompt response. Sincerely.

Josefina Fraile Martín - Terra SOS-Tenible / Giulietto Chiesa ex-member of European Parliament 2004-2009 / Alternativa –

- 2. A similar advance in attempting to solve the puzzle about what exactly is falling from the skies, who is causing this and how it can be ended before the damage becomes even greater, has been undertaken in the **municipality of Shasta, California**. Josefina Fraile of Skyguards was there to express support and show identification with the topic by the European group; she is stating that the group is currently engaged with the European Parliament on the same topic.

July 14 **Shasta County Supervisors vote to look further into 'chemtrails' (VIDEO)**

The statements of former US air force pilots, former employees of the fishing and wildlife agency, nurses and physicians, as well as farmers and biologists were heard:

<http://www.actionnewsnow.com/news/shasta-county-supervisors-vote-to-look-further-into-chemtrails/>

Also see the radio broadcast of Janie Rezner, USA:
tuc@tucradio.org

***Poisoning the Sky
Geoengineering with Chemtrails
A community investigates and fights back***

On July 15, 2014, residents of Shasta County in remote Northern California took unprecedented action before their Board of Supervisors. They were giving testimony on a phenomenon of recent years: the appearance of lingering white trails in the sky above, that join to create a haze; and on their discovery that after these hazings rain, snow and surface water in ponds contain toxic chemicals, among them aluminum. They brought the issue before the Shasta County Supervisors as a matter of public health and an issue of citizen's right to know.

Residents submitted test data from California licensed labs showing the presence of aluminum, barium and strontium in snow and rainwater after hazing events. They brought in copies of patents used by the military and private contractors that list the identical ingredients for use in weather modification.

The list of speakers at the Supervisor meeting was impressive. It included former US Air Force pilots, former employees of Fish and Wildlife and the USDA, farmers, doctors and nurses concerned about the toxic effects of aluminum. There was even a guest from Spain, who testified about efforts in the European Union to investigate the toxins in the new international Solar Radiation Management programs. The totally unregulated SRM programs promote spraying in the atmosphere to mitigate climate change by cutting back on the amount of sun that strikes the ground. The opening speaker was Dane Wigington. His website is <<http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org>>

Thanks to the Shasta County Board of Supervisors for the audio for this radio program.

Finally, a similar scenario is taking place in Tuscany, so we hear from Anna Schgraffen from Bolzano:

NEWS from Tuscany
Chemtrails

The Tuscany Regional Assembly (Florence) will soon debate a motion submitted by Councilor of the Mixed Group, **Gabriele Chiurli**, about the phenomenon of "chemtrails." The motion was filed on April 28, 2014 pursuant to Section 167 of the Internal Statute. In the document are a series of questions on the composition of what is considered a systematic spraying of substances toxic, mentioned the HAARP project, now-closed, **(NOT CLOSED!)** connecting with the phenomenology. Councilor Chiurli asks in his motion to investigate the trails and requests the participation of ARPAT, the Regional Agency for Environmental control.

You can view the [mozione numero 800](#) in the full version. (the Florence Group Nogeingegneria is in touch with Chiurli and we gave him a dossier)

<http://www.firenzetoday.it/cronaca/scie-chimiche-toscana-controlli-arpac.html>

http://quisiena.corrierenazionale.it/politica/chiurli_in_region_e_arpac_indagini_sulle_scie_chimiche/

- 3. Meanwhile, **Geo-Engineering** presents itself everywhere as the face of a young and civilian „science“, while it negates any connection to its decades-long military background, the destruction caused, or to its donors and their uninterrupted workings; this was demonstrated at the **1. International conference „Climate Engineering“ of August 2014 in Berlin.** We were represented there by our new board member Mag. Mathias Weiß, a doctoral candidate specializing on the topic of Geo-Engineering.

Among other things, his report confirms the prediction, that Geo-Engineering is being utilized to solve the problems that have actually been created through Geo-Engineering!

This also shows that – much as during the times in which modern science was developed in the 17th century – an „anti“ nature sentiment always exists, while nature continues to be regarded as the enemy in need of subjugation through human intervention. Today the entire planet is at risk! Meanwhile, the planet is simply regarded

as a „mechanism“, much like a thermostat that can be manipulated as one pleases. This correlates with the other view of nature being a friend, much as a machine under human control, our „good nature“.

The main objective now is to secure consent by civil society and politics in order to ensure that the mega crime of invading the vital systems of the planet can be started, or better, continued, through the proposed projects and without a loss of time.

So, who or what is the cause of the underlying dilemma? As we are falsely told since a quarter of a century, it is not military experimentation but the CO2 emission of industrial society! Since it seems impossible to reduce them sufficiently, this way stopping a further „warming“ of the planet, a plan B is needed and found: Treatment of the symptoms.

Here the 1st report of the Berlin conference:

Capturing the atmosphere of the CEC 2014 – Climate Engineering Conference

Mag. Mathias Weiss - Salzburg, 29.08.2014

CEC2014 – Climate Engineering Conference:

The first international Climate Engineering Conference was held in Berlin at the Scandic Hotel from August 18th to August 21st with the title „CEC 2014 - Critical Global Discussions“. More than 300 scientists from 40 nations were present, most of them as delegates of their universities. Research backgrounds of the scientists were predominantly aligned with the discipline of climate science, however, ethicists, sociologists and political scientists were present as well. There were also UN delegates, politicians, journalists and environmental activists present. Only a small group of activists, including Skyguards and PMME represented civil society.

Critical Global Discussions?:

By the end of the opening speeches on Monday afternoon the objectives of the „climate clique“, well known through the „climategate“- scandal, had become clear. Right at the beginning of his speech, Prof. Mark Lawrence, scientific director at the Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies (IASS) and co-organizer of the conference, clarified his view on climate engineering as the most efficient (and cheapest) solution to our climate problems, and stated that the objective was now to better understand the harms and benefits to the „stakeholders. It is necessary to obtain control of the climate as soon as possible and to stop „groping in the dark“, he said. Information/education about the effects of climate engineering on the planet, specifically that of SRM (Solar Radiation Management), were of utmost importance.

It became clear from the very beginning:

Mother earth, in this case presented as „terra incognita“, is to be sacrificed on the altar of enlightenment, without any concern or consideration about the outcomes of this experiment.

In order to achieve this kind of enlightenment, ethical, political and scientific obstacles would need to be overcome. This becomes possible through the following: Ethically, Geo-Engineering would not present a solution, however, it should be seriously considered as „plan B“, much in the sense of a kind of „repair policy“. Politically, a proactive approach must be adopted in order to avoid solitary action through nations. Scientifically, the premise of research must be placed under the guise of the economy, publicity and politics in order to achieve public awareness of new technological innovations as the potential for positive change.

With this line of reasoning the grounds for a substantial debate about the lack of alternatives and solutions posed by climate engineering were eradicated; critical voices among the own colleagues, who might have distanced themselves from engineered climate manipulation and argued a need for adjustment to the changing situation or simply for an „energy transition“, were silenced. In order to discuss controversial viewpoints it would be important to first present „peer reviewed“ arguments, we were told.

By the end of day one it appeared more as though they were participating in an ecumenical council, operating in privacy and seclusion from the public, while making decisions about the wellbeing of the entire planet, and believing in the own infallibility in making these decisions.

Hidden Agenda:

The canon of the climate engineers proceeded towards even more clarity about their standpoints throughout the following days. According to the unanimous opinion of the majority of speakers, it is climate engineered by human beings which constitutes the path into the future, although, today's problems are manmade as well. Visions could be realized by implementing findings and outcomes of research, conducted by climate engineers, directly into politics, and from there they would then be integrated into civil society. It was predominantly the social scientists, who envisioned their roles as connecting links for such an implementation.

The public would be needed to regard scientific outcomes and solutions in a positive manner. However, there is some acknowledgement of the possibility that democratic processes may be bypassed or even ignored by the money-driven global economy, which essentially controls civil society, much like in the theory of a „Second Modernity“, coined by the German sociologist Ulrich Beck.

The many panel discussions on the topic of climate changing measures did not necessarily question the „if“ and „why“, but rather simply discussed the matter of „when“, „how“, and „where“ these measures might be employed.

Countless strategies concerning the implementation, the deployment and the enforcement of Geo-Engineering methods were presented and discussed. At times, it appeared as though the only objective was to create a legitimate basis of action for a program that was already underway but not openly spoken about.

Global Security – Global Governance:

Particularly American and British scientists vocalized the urgency to utilize Geo-engineering methods, which would allow for rapid success. Proclaiming an emergency situation could allow for expedited global solutions. These methods of politics were discussed openly and deemed adequate although decision-making

processes should never take place under these conditions, since they might easily result in limitations of basic rights similar to the German emergency laws. Therefore, in order to rule out abuse, a form of „global governance“ would be required, in other words this would mean a kind of global leading power in order to ensure adherence with the rules of climate engineering, monitor them and impose consequences.

Regardless of who is entrusted, be it the UN or anyone at the political level of program implementation, it would be important to ensure a limited number of parties in the process since a decline in approval is feared, if there are too many parties involved in the process. It was particularly the physicists, who were supporters of a restrictive implementation.

At another occasion it was proclaimed that Geo-Engineering actually creates a kind of global justice and responsibility. This approach argued that living globally and protecting property would require the enforcement of SRM – Solar Radiation Management.

The creation of a new enemy appeared to be necessary in order to unify the planet; this enemy can be conjured in the image and imagination of the climate and, respectively, nature. Jason J. Blackstock expressed it this way: „Humanity against the natural world – that’s the new topic for the century“.

What has been inexpressible until now, has become a new slogan.

The Elephant in the Room:

Throughout all the debates about „governance“ and about a global entity or enforcement agency of Geo-Engineering, the topic of the military as a protagonist with sufficient capacity to enforce climate manipulation measures was repeatedly a focus of discussions.

It was, however, not possible to penetrate the topic of the military and climate manipulation technology in more depth, since it appeared that a presumed consensus existed in the views of a boundlessly peaceful military and its passive role, especially pertaining to the political level.

A discussion about possible advantages of climate manipulating technology that would arise for the industrial-military-complex appeared to be impossible and was

deemed to be scientifically irrelevant, referring to the topic of Geo-Engineering. Questions regarding large scale military experiments with the objective of controlling weather, much as Rosalie Bertell describes in her book „Planet Earth – The Latest Weapon of War“, were brushed off as being irrelevant for climate research and even deemed to be conspiracy theories.

Even after a presentation on plasma beams which can be used to manipulate the Ionosphere, and after pictures of the HAARP facility were shown, there was no possibility to raise the topic of military use of these.

Oftentimes, a heavy, dark and uneasy silence would spread through the room when these questions were raised.

The dinosaur at the museum:

External guests had been invited to the discussions outside of the hotel, such as the one that took place beneath a huge dinosaur's skeleton at the museum of natural science; these guests oftentimes voiced criticism about Geo-Engineering. Since Geo-Engineering is still treated as a hypothetical topic criticism was never taken up readily throughout the entire congress. Opinion leaders preferred to mingle with their kind. Even during the public final discussion the pro Geo-Engineering „experts“ seated on the podium would only respond to questions posed by like minded individuals, although there were plenty of questions asked by the general public. Climate change measures, which are currently being utilized or have already been developed were not touched on, while cross references pertaining to synergies, such as „disaster-capitalism“, were either ignored or brushed off and termed irrelevant in the context of science.

Is it not science, that should serve human beings and not the other way around?

4. A reminder: Geo-Engineering – an applied military project since decades

The Guardian in London published an article in 2012 in which the topic of the military history of Geo-Engineering as a technology was described; the article shows that Geo-Engineering has no connection to CO2 emissions or to programs for the cooling of the planet but rather is the militarization of our weather:

At war over geoengineering

- [The Guardian](#), Thursday 9 February 2012 21.00 GMT

- Few in the civil sector fully understand that [geoengineering](#) is primarily a [military](#) science and has nothing to do with either cooling the planet or lowering carbon emissions ([Report](#), 6 February). While seemingly fantastical, weather has been weaponised. At least four countries – the US, Russia, China and Israel – possess the technology and organisation to regularly alter weather and geologic events for various military and black operations, which are tied to secondary objectives, including demographic, energy and agricultural resource management.

- Indeed, warfare now includes the technological ability to induce, enhance or direct cyclonic events, earthquakes, draught and flooding, including the use of polymerised aerosol viral agents and radioactive particulates carried through global weather systems. Various themes in public debate, including global warming, have unfortunately been subsumed into much larger military and commercial objectives that have nothing to do with broad public environmental concerns. These include the gradual warming of polar regions to facilitate naval navigation and resource extraction.

Matt Andersson

Former executive adviser, aerospace & defense, Booz Allen Hamilton, Chicago

And Global Research in Canada featured the following in 2010:

Chemtrails: The Consequences of Toxic Metals and Chemical Aerosols on Human Health

By [Dr. Ilya Sandra Perlingieri](#)

Global Research, March 06, 2014

Global Research 7 May 2010

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/chemtrails-the-consequences-of-toxic-metals-and-chemical-aerosols-on-human-health/19047>

„The U.S. military has been spraying chemical and biological weapons in open air testing over civilian *populations since the 1940's*. They are called “*vulnerability tests*”. This is not a *controversial statement*. The military has admitted to this practice on many occasions and there's plenty of documentation from the government to corroborate it. There is also documentation of intentional, experimental releases of radiation on civilian populations...”

„Over the past decade, independent testing of Chemtrails around the country has shown a dangerous, extremely poisonous brew that includes: barium, nano aluminum-coated fiberglass [known as CHAFF], radioactive thorium, cadmium, chromium, nickel, desiccated blood, mold spores, yellow fungal mycotoxins, ethylene dibromide, and polymer fibers. Barium can be compared to the toxicity of arsenic.(4) Barium is known to adversely affect the heart. Aluminum has a history of damaging brain function. Independent researchers and labs continue to show off-the-scale levels of these poisons. A few “anonymous” officials have acknowledged this on-going aerosol spraying.(5)“

5. The allegedly planned **shut down or transfer of HAARP** to a civilian institution such as the University of Alaska was supposed to happen in 2013, but has been postponed to 2015. If true, this corresponds with the process of positioning Geo-Engineering as a civilian based science. This conglomeration of military and civilian involvement is nothing new and has also been the case in the past. It is simply the propaganda that is now oftentimes more visible to the public eye. According to Elana Freeland and her recently published book Chemtrails, HAARP, and the Full Spectrum Dominance of Planet Earth (Feral House 2014), there are more than 40 facilities globally that are involved in some way with this respect; essentially this means that they have nothing to fear pertaining to the protection of their interests. Rosalie Bertell even suspects that the largest facility ever was built in Antarctica. Who knows, maybe the

disappearing permafrost in Alaska has begun to cause a swaying of the towers?

For, so-called climate change is now impacted by methane gas, which is umpteen times heavier in influence than CO2 and has begun to rise from former permafrost ground and waters due to the warming of the arctic region. There is now talk about an arctic death spiral and a methane disaster. If these concerns turn out to be real, this scenario will render all efforts of geo-engineers meaningless. And the military as the perpetrator will, as usual, not even be mentioned:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=m6pFDu7ILV4

- 6. Finally, there is discussion over the creation of a **European and International Environmental Court**. Rosalie Bertell had always advocated for this option. However, she did not intend for the „opposing side“ to pursue the founding of such an institution so that they would be in control over it and be able to divert any potential calamity from the perpetrators to begin with. I would like to summarize correspondence between the founder's initiative „End Ecocid“ and Skyguards, the former of both has called for support in the guise of the so called „Charta of Brussels“:

In its Charta, the initiative End Ecocid suggests the following 3 steps:

- Support for a moral tribunal, which advocates for public awareness about crimes against nature and that supports sentencing;
- The creation of a European Criminal Court for issues pertaining to the environment and to health; and
- The creation of an International Criminal Court in the same matter.

The Charta should be presented to the Secretary General of the UN, the EU Commission and to the EU Council in September of 2014.

Existing initiatives of civil society are called to support this program. The website is <http://iecc-tpie.org> ((is accessible for this purpose)). The person responsible is Nina Bourgier (chartedebruxelles2014@gmail.com)

A response from Josefina Fraile from Skyguards congratulates the initiative and emphasizes the importance of finally tackling problems such as Geo-Engineering, Solar Radiation Management (SRM), the spraying of toxins, HAARP programs etc. together. However, it has been determined meanwhile, that precisely these questions have been deemed as „conspiracy-theory“ by the committee of the initiative! Still, Josefina hopes that this can be changed and the raised questions may still be included in the list. After all, the website www.geoengineerwatch.org has conducted research on the topics since 10 years, and military programs with the objective of climate control exist since 60 years. Besides, there has been a meeting in the European Parliament in 2013 that has concerned itself with these questions, and the petition demanding an investigation into the questions has been accepted by the EP. This in itself is proof of the absence of conspiracy, says Josefina. For this reason a campaign had been initiated with the objective to inform candidates of the EP election about the topic: <http://nsnbc.me/2014/04/17/eu-elections-candidates-challenged-to-come-clean-on-clandestine-geoengineering/>

The End Ecocid-Initiative stands to lose much of its credibility, says Josefina, if it were to disregard the largest attempt in history so far to compromise the environment and health of the entire planet. The letter ends with an invitation to become more informed about the topic.

Wayne Hall from Greece, a member of Skyguards, suggests the following solution:

„Every person and each organization that speaks about the pros and cons of Geo-Engineering, e.g. SRM, or the spraying of aerosols in public should either be mandated to admit that these activities have been taking place globally for a long time,

or have to prove that this is not taking place. Otherwise, they risk a criminal procedure, including prison time.”

This must be communicated to those responsible for the initiative End Ecocid.

Josefina Fraile comments that this approach is legitimate especially since, of all things, End Ecocide is using the UN ENMOD Convention against Skyguards’ reasoning. For, the opposite is true: particularly so since this convention identifies and bans the same Geo-Engineering measures criticized by Skyguards. It therefore seems as though the initiative to create an Environmental Court is the hands of those, who are actually responsible for the destruction of the environment: the operators of Geo-Engineering themselves. Therefore, the instigators of this initiative that apparently is supposed to be responsible for the conviction of the greatest criminals in history, must be identified.

The general article from 2013

Congress ‚Ereignis-Horizont‘: ‚Borders of Horizon‘ – Science meets Spirituality – The Synthesis, Heidenheim, Germany, September 7th, 2013

900 participants

Speech: Claudia von Werlhof, 18.00 – 18.15

Geo-Engineering and ‘Planetary Movement for Mother Earth’ (1)

Introductory Remarks:

I hesitated to accept the invitation to this symposium, as I cannot offer you a message that will please you. I do not think that the synthesis of contemporary science and spirituality will eventually lift the earth and our consciousness to a higher level. On the contrary! Modern science and spirituality, as they are being practiced, already form a synthesis inasmuch as spirituality turns a blind eye on the crimes committed by science. Without a radically different science and a radically

different spirituality a synthesis of the two is not at all worth striving for. Therefore we first need to develop a vision in what way science and spirituality would have to change radically to form a fruitful alliance.

In more concrete terms, we are talking about the modern science of geoengineering and the 'Planetary Movement for Mother Earth', which was founded three years ago and presently has close to 800 members. Our aim is to start a public discussion about the globally practiced and planned destructive methods and procedures of the military, of politics, economics, science and technology (quoted from the statute of the 'Planetary Movement for Mother Earth').

1 Proposal: We change the perspective from which we look upon the earth.

- a We are trees in the Amazon approached by the motor saw.
- b We are plankton in the Pacific Ocean off the east coast of Japan. Hundreds of thousands of tons of highly radioactive cooling water from the devastated complex of reactors in Fukushima are flowing into the ocean. (I have just learned that the radioactivity at this point has reached a level that would kill a person exposed to it within 4 hours: 2.200 mil. Sievert!)
- c We are a field in the blue of the planet and the electromagnetic bundle of rays of the ionospheric heater called HAARP in Alaska is starting to bombard us cutting the atmosphere like a knife.
- d We are plants in spring and wish to grow, but the sun cannot reach us because of the dense and broad chemical spraying stripes called chemtrails in the sky and later on we are hit by aluminum, barium and strontium; which give us a shock. And though the sun will reach us some time later in the year it will bring ultraviolet and possibly also cosmic gamma- and x-rays penetrating the air weakened by the loss of the protective ozone. And these rays burn the already diminished blossoms, leaves and fruit...

What we see from this is that men attack and destroy nature and all its elements, even the entire earth in her „life systems“ (as Bertell called them) as if they wanted to oust life from the earth, the only planet on which we can live in all its material abundance. It is as if men have lost their ability to think which they are so proud of, as well as their ability to feel. Many of us have lost every sense of responsibility, every affection, compassion, caring, let alone love for the planet. For men accept the destruction, they participate in it in great numbers or look the other way. What has happened to them?

From the perspective of nonhuman life we experience that ,the ones up there‘, but also ,the many down here‘ put life, the earth and everything that lives on it at stake!

As human beings we experience that there is no official public discussion about it, on the contrary, this discussion is suppressed everywhere and those who bring up the issue, are defamed as people conjuring up a ‘conspiracy theory‘, also as lunatics who get in the way of progress, the good things and development.

What they are aiming at is that officially nobody notices and points at the destruction of the entire planet, that the destruction paradoxically is to be considered as something good and fitting! We seem to need the ,sacrifice‘ to move this civilization ‘forward‘, but where to?

The destruction is supposedly followed by the new creation of something better and higher, and is therefore justified. Everything else is defined as sentimentality. For the soil, the trees, the water and the food chain, the light and the air are considered as ,mere matter‘ or ,material‘, and not as living beings in their own right though they are of vital importance to the earth. The earth as such is but a lump of dead matter to them.

The ones up there think in purely anthropocentric ways with man ranking highest and everything else below at his disposal.

The patriarchal project of dominance over nature, of its destruction and transformation has earned them a lot of money and vested them with even more power. This is the reason why

this project is so popular with them, and why it has become the universal religion of modernity.

Today this 'alchemical' project of 'creation through destruction', as I call it, is no longer to be restricted to the micro-dimension of life (the atom, the gene and the nano dimension of living matter). It is also about to conquer the macro-dimension of life, the earth as our planet, and change her into their colony.

This is geoengineering in its broadest meaning: the attempt to subjugate the entire planet to the control, the manipulation and destructive transformation of the ruling class, using already existing and yet to be invented means to turn the planet into an allegedly better one, namely into a subservient mega-machine.

(As Mother Earth does not go along with this plan, she is demonized as 'evil nature', as a kind of mega-witch which has to be tortured and violently changed in order to become the 'good nature' - the mega-machine - for the Sirs).

For them only life in the sense described above is positive, i.e., if it behaves the way THEY want it!

(Geoengineering developed after the construction and launch of the atomic bomb in 1945, a huge physical experiment, of which none of the scientists involved knew if it would cause an electromagnetic shock, which could well have destroyed the entire planet. This risk was allowed to be taken! Therefore, nobody can say anymore that it comes as a surprise when I talk about the hubris of geoengineering. It is clearly in accordance with the psychopathy of the ones up there).

2 What is geoengineering, and what does it do?

For more than 60 years, namely since the end of World War II, the military in the east and the west have been developing technologies, which the North American scientist and laureate of the Right Livelihood Award, Dr. Rosalie Bertell, refers to as 'weather war, plasma weapons and geoengineering'. Her book 'Planet Earth - The Latest Weapon of War' led to the foundation of our movement. We translated it into German and updated it by adding recent material. It is available at our stall.

The authoress says: 'What they are planning now are climate and weather wars, wars in which earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, flooding and droughts, hurricanes and monsoon rains play a role' (p. 46). Much of it had already been applied for the first time in the Vietnam War. This is why the UN drafted its ENMOD (Environmental Modification) Convention in 1977 in which you read: 'Under no circumstances should earthquakes, tsunamis, a disruption of the ecological balance of a region, changes of the weather -including the formation of clouds, cyclones, tornadoes -, climate change, changes of the oceanic currents, changes of the ozone layer, as well as of the condition of the ionosphere be caused for military or hostile reasons'.

This statement dates back 36 years. However, most of us have been ignoring this development of decades, though the above described technologies are feasible and can indeed be applied! And they are applied!

The UN, however, has not ruled out the peaceful application of these already existing technologies. Hence, it was possible to build the radar unit HAARP (High Active Auroral Research Project) in the nineties of the last century in Alaska, which is the largest so-called 'ionospheric heater' in the world. Indeed, HAARP claims to be a basically peaceful plasma physics research project - on the basis of official US patents applying the findings of Nicola Tesla. (Tesla, by the way, was the first person to experiment with electromagnetism).

In 1999, the European Parliament called for a closer examination of HAARP, a demand which was turned down by the EU Commission on the ground that HAARP be a military project devoid of European influence. Sufficient proof that this is not a civil but a military project.

Hence, this issue was not tackled during all these years. However, in April 2013, 14 years later, a new attempt was made. In the European Parliament a meeting, entitled 'Civil Society versus Geoengineering', was organized. On this occasion 48 activists (I was one of them) from all over Europe gathered to debate the latest findings on this topic.

There we also watched the film by the US-American activist Michael Murphy: ‚Why in the World are they Spraying?‘ which can be seen on YouTube.

At our stall you find a petition inviting you to join the protest of the organization of (ex-)parliamentarians called ‚Skyguards‘, which organized this meeting and is proposing that this issue be also officially dealt with in the European Parliament. (The members of ‚Skyguards‘ are active and former European parliamentarians).

For, as Bertell says: ‚If the military meddles with air, water and soil we have to be informed about it, as these are our vital resources.‘ (p. 49)

It concerns us already: ‚chemtrails‘, i.e., chemical spraying has been practiced in military and civil aviation both in Europe (since the nineties) and in the US (since the eighties) and has intensified since. It pollutes the lower atmosphere with millions of tons of aluminum, barium, strontium, viruses, gene- and nano particles as well as polymers which are dumped there doing damage to air, water, soil and living beings. Field crops are suffering, and we are also faced with the fact that organic farming will no longer be possible after years of spraying. The consequences are deficiency symptoms on the one hand, and the inability of the body to discharge the metals on the other. We breathe in all these metals in the form of nano particles which reach all our organs, including the brain, leading to memory decline, Alzheimer’s disease, pulmonary diseases, „morgellons“ - (as the result of inhaling micro-fibers) not recognized as a disease yet - and unknown synergistic effects, in particular between barium and aluminum.

The intake of aluminum (and eventual nano-sensors) makes us humans susceptible to HAARP activities, the purpose of which is so-called mass-mind-control (control of our thoughts and feelings) by way of the use of electro-magnetic ELF (Extreme Low Frequency) waves. Let alone the general conductive function for EM-waves of artificial clouds containing metals, or the ability of chemtrails to conduct storms (allegedly Hurricane Sandy in NY), which is classified as extreme weather manipulation.

In view of the chemical contamination – or rather chemical warfare – the multinational enterprise Monsanto has already developed heat- and aluminum-resistant GMO-seeds.

The use of ‘Solar Radiation Management’ (SRM), the spraying of the atmosphere with so called aerosols which are particles that allegedly merely dim the sunlight and avoid the excessive warming of our planet (supposedly caused by the emission of CO₂), is not something that is only planned for the future (as Bill Gates, one of its main promoters, emphasizes). It has already been taking place for decades. The academic discussion of the civil geoengineers, however, suggests that it is a mere project for some time in the future. (Among these scientists are Edward Teller, the inventor of the thermonuclear bomb, his disciple Lowell Wood, and David Keith and Ken Caldeira).

The use of this technology has been common practice for decades already, obviously for very different purposes.

In the meantime, the UN has intervened again. In 2010 it proposed a moratorium against geoengineering drafted at the environmental conference in Nagoya!

Bertell comments on all this as follows: ‘They are meddling with the life-systems of the planet before even knowing how they are functioning (and it is uncertain if we would ever be able to experience their original functioning at all)’.

According to Bertell the earth has already become a „victim of military research“, a victim of ‘military alchemy’, as I call it.

(The scientists get down to business by means of ‘trial and error’ as is the case in other areas of science as well, i.e., they try something out, usually leading to destruction, and observe what happens).

You cannot test geoengineering in the laboratory but only in a planetary outdoor test, as was the case with the atomic explosion.

(It means continuing with the torture of nature which has been known since the times of the so called witches. This program laid down by Francis Bacon, the famous founder of modern science in the 17th century, started to be applied to all fields aiming at the so called domination of nature).

Bertell makes a case that the earth has been turned into a weapon of war by manipulating her own forces, e.g. her electromagnetic ones, and artificially intensifying them so that they become 'plasma weapons' that can be fired back to the earth via the ionosphere. The ionosphere would be functioning as a 'gun barrel'. This act of war could do immense damage to us as indeed to the earth herself. Tesla had already discovered this 100 years ago. (cf. his term 'Magnifying Transmitter'). This is the way in which the so-called natural disasters can be produced, of which we have been experiencing 10 times as many since the seventies of the 20th century (an estimate made by Bertell).

This means that we can no longer know today which of the ongoing disasters are natural and which are artificially induced.

(However, it is very difficult to prove if a 'natural' disaster has been caused artificially. So far we have only been able to analyze the parallel HAARP activity and watch the plasma stripes which resemble parts of auroras or rainbows in the sky. They become visible on the path of the EM-waves through the atmosphere being heated in this way. Such a stripe was seen when the huge earthquake struck in Haiti in 2010 resulting in a quarter of a million fatalities.

The era in which the planet is said to be under human control is called the anthropocene, the era of humankind. It will not last long, as we can already see.)

The damage that has already been done (inasmuch as we know about it) indicates how they are indeed „wrecking“ our planet, as Bertell calls it. (cf. presidential advisor Gordon Mac Donald: 'How to Wreck the Environment', 1968).

Bertell found out that the rotation of the earth has slowed down, the earth 'wobbles' like a spinning washing machine (which can be the effect of so called 'Deep Earth Penetrating Tomography', sending ELF-waves through the core of the earth). The magnetic field of the earth, the Van-Allen-Belts, have been damaged; the ionosphere, the upper layer of the atmosphere, has got holes and incisions, also caused by rockets and supersonic flights, and is increasingly heated up by the bombardment with EM-waves.

Presently there are between one and two dozens of ionospheric heating and radar-facilities worldwide. The latest of them, called MUOS, is just being built in Sicily (seemingly not for the use of low ELF, but high frequency EM waves, guiding Drones etc.).

As a result of the degradation of the protective atmospheric layers the earth is exposed to increasing amounts of cosmic radiation. Ozone holes have been developing under which life is dying.

All these factors together, and not merely the civil CO2 emissions, in particular the military experiments that have been going on for decades, are causing the climate and weather change we are experiencing today. Bertell never ceased to point to the principal importance of the activities of the military in this respect.

(This coincides, by the way, with the time lag of nature, which takes 40 to 60 years to respond to human activities.)

The deicing of the Arctic is also the result of its bombardment with ELF-EM-waves by the Soviets and the US (cf. the 1974 secret treaty of Vladivostok, part of Salt II). This may even lead to an accelerated pole shift and a rupture of the Gulf Stream (which has weakened already) causing the northern hemisphere to freeze over. (They are melting the ice in order to exploit the mineral resources below. Some oil companies in Russia and the US have already started to do just that. (For intercontinental transport they would no longer need to use the Panama-canal any more)

Hence, the above average warming of the Arctic is not the result of climate change caused by CO2 emissions, as we are constantly made to believe. (The troposphere where the CO2 gathers has not changed much as opposed to the ionosphere further up.)

If we do not pay attention to all this we will very soon have to swallow geoengineering as the solution to the problems caused by geoengineering – and we will even do this with our own consent!

On top of it an ozone hole has been developing over the Arctic region. According to Bertell, the radioactive fallout of Fukushima has led to the first ozone hole ever at the North Pole. For it is not the CFCs – as we are told – but radioactivity (together with EM, supersonic flight and rockets) that really harms the ozone layer!

This may lead to great difficulties in agriculture. We can already witness signs of it in Africa, Australia and the Midwest of the USA. This year, as a result of sky and weather manipulations lasting for months, Europe is also facing enormous crop losses in a broad corridor from Spain to Moscow. There was no spring, followed by floods (leaving behind toxic sediments) and eventually tropical heat and drought for weeks.

The weather derivatives at the stock exchanges are making huge profits. Yes, there is already speculation with weather manipulation. And this is no conspiracy theory! They are doing business and making huge profits with the destruction of the earth and life on it.

Geoengineering comprises various technologies, which can be applied at the same time.

(They all lead to warlike conditions on our planet, to militarization on a global scale, to a so-called ‚weaponization‘.

The US Air Force is part of the weather manipulation taking place, see: ‚Weather as a Force Multiplier. Owning the Weather in 2025‘. They started their experiments in 1996.

The Navy, on the other hand, is killing hundreds of thousands of whales and dolphins with their experiments under water in the oceans, see: Jeff Phlipps, 5th Information Letter, 2012, www.pbme-online.org)

However, we are not aware of everything that is taking place, nor are we aware of the speed at which the experiments and their harmful consequences occur, for more and more governments and the military all over the world are applying these technologies. Therefore nobody really knows what is indeed happening, how these experiments are carried out, either mutually supportive or fighting one another, what their

synergetic effects are and to what extent they have already done irreversible damage to the biosphere or are about to do so.

Bertell warns us: ‚If we wait until we have understood everything, it will be too late!‘

She suggests parting with the secrecy surrounding military activities and installing acknowledged International Courts of integrity with the purpose of replacing war by peaceful dispute settlements for all times in the future. (cf. p.32)

(Bertell acknowledges efforts that aim at the abolishment of further nuclear threats. She, however, also asks whether those who are negotiating these agreements are aware of Telsa’s research about a potential split of the planet into two parts, or about the possibility of the delicate equilibrium between the earth and the sun, the moon and the sun, and the earth and the moon, being destroyed, which would catapult the earth into the sun or into space. For these, according to Bertell, are real possibilities of weather wars for which at least 4 nations are already preparing. (cf. Bertell 2000, p.32)

Tesla, who had also developed technological alternatives (cf. ‚free energy‘), thought such weapons would never be used because of their extremely dangerous effects for the whole of humanity and the planet and we would therefore approach the end of war. (Today he would be appalled).

The new kinds of war which need not be declared and can be staged clandestinely (as stated by presidential advisor Mac Donald as early as 1968) can be witnessed everywhere. Possibly even Fukushima is already part of them. They are consistent with Bertell’s insight that the military is always 50 years ahead of the rest of us and that the military never uses the same weapons in a war as in the previous one. (p. 48)

Hence, there is no way in which a planetary consciousness or spirituality can be integrated into this kind of eco- and geo-terrorism called science. We cannot look the other way and ignore all this. Obviously, what needs to be done is to enter into a debate at two fronts at a time, dealing with both the current situation and the much needed alternative.

How can we go about this?

Notes:

- 1 For lack of time the parts of the texts that appear in parenthesis have not been presented.

Quotes from Rosalie Bertell: *Kriegswaffe Planet Erde*, Gelnhausen 2011/2013 (2. ed.)

Infos:

www.pbme-online.org/new

www.pbme-online.org

www.sauberer-himmel.de

www.chemtrails.de

Skyguards- SG/TerraSOSTenible -TS/Alternativa al Parlamento Europeo a Bruxelles

Bibliography:

Bertell, Rosalie: *Planet Earth. The Latest Weapon of War*, London 2000, The Women's Press

Bertell, Rosalie: Slowly Wrecking Our Planet, in: Corinne Kumar (ed.): *Asking, we walk - the south as new political imaginary*, Book Four, Bangalore 2013, Streelekha, pp.31-40

Bremner, Moyra: Planet Earth: The latest Weapon of War. A Review, in: Corinne Lkumar (ed.): *Asking, we walk - the south as new poltical imaginary*, Book Four, Bangalore 2013, Streelekha, pp. 41-46

McDonald, Gordon: How to Wreck the Environment, in Nigel Calder: *Unless Peace Comes: A Scientific Forecast of New Weapons*, 1968

Projektgruppe „Zivilisationspolitik“ (Hrsg.): *Kann es eine 'neue Erde' geben? Zur 'Kritischen Patriarchatstheorie' und der Praxis einer postpatriarchalen Zivilisation*, Frankfurt a. M. 2011, Peter Lang Verlag

UN: Convention on Environmnetal Modification, Geneva 1977

US-Air Force: *Weather as a Force Multiplier. Owning the Weather in 2025. A Research Paper* by Col Tamzy J. House, Lt Col James B. Near, Jr., LTC William B. Shields (USA), Maj Ronald J. Celentano, Maj David M. Husband, Maj Ann E. Mercer and Maj James E. Pug, 1996

Werlhof, Claudia von: *The Failure of Modern Civilization and the Struggle for a "Deep" Alternative*, Frankfurt a.M. 2011, Peter Lang

Werlhof, Claudia von: *Der unerkannte Kern der Krise. Die moderne als Er-Schöpfung der Welt*, Uhlstädt-Kirchhasel 2012, Arun Verlag

Werlhof, Claudia von: Destruction Through „Creation“. The „Critical Theory of Patriarchy“ and the Collapse of Modern Civilization, in: *Capitalism-Nature- Socialism*, CNS, Dic. 2013, New York/London, Routledge, pp.68-85
