



# PBME

PLANETARE BEWEGUNG FÜR MUTTER ERDE

PMME - PLANETARY MOVEMENT FOR MOTHER EARTH

MPPM - MOVIMIENTO PLANETARIO POR LA PACHAMAMA

[www.pbme-online.org](http://www.pbme-online.org)

## Greta and the Great Confusion

### 2nd open letter to Greta Thunberg

October 2019

Claudia von Werlhof and discussion group of the "Planetary Movement for Mother Earth" - Alexandra Danzl, Wolfgang Fischer, Maria Heibel, Thomas A. Mann, Gudrun Sahlender-Wulf, Dietmar Salamon, Thomas Schramm et al.

Dear Greta Thunberg,

You have not answered a 1st open letter at the beginning of your steep "career", which has just culminated in the recognition of the Right Livelihood Award. I nevertheless write a 2nd one. I approached you with sympathy for your awakening and activism, looking at you like a kind of grandmother who would like to give you some advice - to a kind of granddaughter. It was to give you better information about the real state of Mother Earth, because I noticed that you did not have this knowledge. This time I would rather address you in my quality as a scientist, which I am as well, as I hear that you seek the advice of science, for you seem to trust in your mind. This is good and it is really necessary. However, there are always two kinds of science: one that is responsible for nothing less than the endangered state of Mother Earth herself, and one that is opposing it. I belong to the latter kind.

That's why I used to be an enthusiastic demonstrator and demonstration speaker and at first I was just happy how the youth everywhere reacted to your protest in masses. Finally, a movement emerged and even for Mother Earth! Something more beautiful could not happen to me, especially because I was the founder of the "Planetary Movement for Mother Earth".

But in the meantime, as a scientist, I see how many aberrations and confusions you and the "Fridays for Future" still have, and I cannot see that they are being recognized by you or the people in the protest movement you inspired. Yes, the real dangers for us and Mother Earth are being suppressed and covered up, namely the ones that really threaten us. But one needs the knowledge about them if one acts the way you do, and in addition shares a certain responsibility for an increasing number of followers. So, you and the "Fridays for Future" movement care about the state of the earth and its causes, but you don't seem to know very much about it!

On the contrary, you have joined the assertion of international organizations, certain scientists of the first kind at the IPCC, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, as well as corporations, financial institutions, and people of the financial sector. They say that CO<sub>2</sub> is the main, indeed the only problem of the planet, and its very low share of 0.04% in the atmosphere (of which only a small part is manmade) is even the reason for a planetary "climate change" as a result. This would ruin the living conditions on earth and would soon take on life-threatening proportions in the form of global warming. Therefore, as decided at the UN Conference in Paris in 2015, action must be taken against it by massively reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. In the name of an allegedly "green" New Deal, a "system change" against this "climate change" and its capitalist causes is now to be initiated. This system change would consist of introducing a "sustainable lifestyle" in society, in which the consumption and use of particularly CO<sub>2</sub>-intensive products would be sharply reduced, or higher taxes would have to be paid. This should allegedly end "climate change" and "save" the earth.

So much for the "logic" of the arguments from above, which you have adopted seamlessly and in a surprisingly well-behaved manner without any contradiction.

What is wrong with that? Quite a lot:

1. Paradoxically, the planned system change by reducing energy consumption is undermined today by the plans for a massive development of the most energy-intensive high-tech dimensions in everyday life, which should lead to the digitization of all areas of life, the project of the corresponding "Smart Cities" and the installation of the necessary electromagnetic radiation at 5G level. This way of dismantling, but at the same time also reconstructing and rebuilding industrial society, has already become a huge business in which trillions of dollars are at stake (1) and certainly not something "green" which is saving the earth! Indeed, the 5G frequency requires the felling many trees in the cities. So far the 5G-frequency has only been used in the military sector as it is a weapon that will even destroy life on Earth to an unknown extent, starting with insects, birds and babies in the womb and then going on with the elderly, where those in the middle will have to expect severe damages to their health (2).

So, the plans for what the "system change" that you want means, have been developed for some time already. They have nothing to do with the abolition of capitalism and are already being pushed through with full force from above. Consequently, there are several simple questions that have to be answered:

What is "sustainable" about this change? Where should the energy for it come from? For whom should it be reserved? Because this energy level cannot be achieved without fossil fuels and with renewable energies only, whereas the fossil fuels are coming to an end anyway, and the renewable ones can only be increased through the additional conversion of agriculture into an energy sector and of forests into palm oil plantations – in other words through massive destruction and hunger production worldwide – not to mention the damages caused by wind turbines, for example, or even by dams for an "alternative" water supply. Is it then a question of expanding nuclear energy in which the military is particularly interested? So, what kind of system change is this, what does it change about the "climate" which is a huge large-scale planetary system, and who gets pushed out?: The 5G victims, large regions of the South, the victims of radioactive contamination and...and and?

Why don't you say anything about this "system change", Greta?

But it's much worse. Because even the CO2 thesis which everything is based on is not correct at all!

2. It is just NOT true that CO2 threatens the earth. Yes, the earth would need at present even more CO2 for its plants and the life in general, because CO2 is an invisible plant gas and no dirt, which comes from chimneys, as is constantly suggested (3), about which however one does not talk at all. CO2 is also not a greenhouse gas insofar as the earth is open to the sky and therefore not a greenhouse. The greenhouse effect cannot occur on a planet. Yes, CO2 ensures that we have oxygen to breathe, because plants convert it into oxygen. So, if CO2 disappears as much as possible from the atmosphere, as you advocate, then we would end up going down by suffocating along with all life on the planet! Thus, there is something fundamentally wrong with the whole argumentation. It stands on feet of clay!

If you believe in science, as you always say, then you should not believe in the IPCC, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, because it is not a scientific, but a political organization. Thousands of scientists in the world have meanwhile spoken out against it (4), precisely because the IPCC claims that CO2 is to blame for this "climate change". The scientists who are not committed to the IPCC and its policies defend CO2, as I have just done. Others say that climate change can only result from a change in solar activity. But they cannot determine this for the times in question. The warming of the global average temperature claimed by the IPCC has not even occurred in the last 20 years, says the US space agency NASA. Apart from that, an average temperature for the entire planet is of course an unsuitable, even nonsensical measure, because it depends on the respective measuring stations, which have also been changed, and because it merely levels out huge differences, so that in the end it has no significance at all.

3. What most scientists, however, don't say is how to interpret the noticeable **weather changes** that we are all observing. These changes are beyond question but should not be confused with the global climate system, which is long term and comprehensive. The deliberate manipulation of the global climate would be a highly complex undertaking, is probably not possible at all, and certainly not through the use or reduction of a single plant gas like CO2. The global climate is simply of another dimension, incomparable to the local weather. So the question is, where do these weather changes come from, be it in the form of droughts, floods, regional heat or cold waves, storms and severe weather systems that remain in place for a long time, the warming of the Arctic that is significantly above all other temperature changes (at least until 2012), the alleged

"forest" fires in California, Australia and Portugal, which destroyed houses to their foundations and melted cars, but left the trees around them mostly intact (5), not to mention the catastrophic jungle fires in the Amazon, Africa and South Asia that are clearly caused by human intervention. Also the massive extinction of animals and plants, e.g. insects, birds, corals and trees as well as the otherwise rapidly increasing loss of species can in no way be explained by CO<sub>2</sub>! That is completely impossible and simply nonsense. The same applies to the pollution of the air, the soil and the water with not only fine dust, but a nano fine dust of aluminum, strontium, barium, lithium, polymers, coal ash, genetically modified substances, bacteria and many other substances penetrating all organs up to the brain, which have been proven for two and more decades now, among other things by the application of aerosols in the atmosphere, above all in the northern hemisphere. The method of spraying aerosols has a scientific name, it is called SRM, Solar Radiation Management, and is recommended for allegedly blocking solar radiation in favor of lower temperatures on Earth - but in reality it has long been used for quite different purposes, in any case for those that harm all life on Earth up to its extinction and cause many diseases of epidemic proportions (6). And finally, contrary to forecasts, in recent years the ozone layer in the atmosphere has been increasingly destroyed, which has led to harmful UV radiation now reaching the earth unfiltered everywhere in the northern hemisphere and threatening microorganisms in particular. The food chain on land and in the oceans has already been attacked and corals are "starving" (7). So if something doesn't happen soon to strengthen the ozone layer permanently, i.e. over the next decades, which includes knowing and admitting what it really suffers from - and this is certainly not only the civilian CFC that is supposedly responsible for it, and CO<sub>2</sub> has nothing to do with it at all - then we could soon be threatened ourselves, because agriculture can suddenly break down by being permanently exposed to toxic UV-B- and C- radiation. However, the ozone layer cannot be strengthened by artificially introducing ozone into the stratosphere, but only by ceasing to affect this thin, but absolutely vital layer – as it is, in reality, affected by radioactivity, the heating of the ionosphere, microwaves, air traffic, rocket fuels and supersonic flights, for instance. The many wars in the world and the irreversible consequences of the widespread use of depleted uranium, a waste material from nuclear plants, for example, are not even mentioned here (8).

**You see, you have been denied crucial information about the real situation of the planet, its dangers and their causes, explaining everything with CO<sub>2</sub>, no matter what it was, and you have simply believed it. To this day, however, you are on your way claiming to have understood the core of the matter and having to present what seems to follow from it.** I also understand that at 16 you can't know everything. But what you and the others need to know if you really want to be a movement conscious of your responsibility for Mother Earth and not against her, that knowledge exists! So get it if you are serious about your movement. Otherwise your credibility will soon be inevitably gone (9). Thus, one will also find out relatively soon whether CO<sub>2</sub> reductions have any effects on the "climate" and/or the weather, which of course will not be the case at all, since it is not the cause of the problems.

4. The knowledge unknown to you came about above all because Dr. Rosalie Bertell, whom I recommended to you in my first letter already and who also received the RLA, the Right Livelihood Award, 33 years ago. She worked as a biometrician and

environmental scientist for the UN on the history of military technologies in the East and the West since the Second World War. These technologies are the key to answering the question of what is happening or can be done today to make it happen. She mentions especially the damage caused by nuclear interventions, for example the explosion of more than two thousand atomic and hydrogen bombs (!) in the atmosphere and on Earth, which occurred during half a century. She goes on with explaining postnuclear technologies. These are those used for **"weather wars, plasma weapons and military geoengineering"**, invented during the last 70 years based on the discovery of how to use electromagnetic waves. This technology was developed by the physicist Nikola Tesla and is now increasingly practiced everywhere on the planet, for example by a growing number of installations of the so-called "ionosphere heaters". However, all this is not publicly admitted! But it is happening, as can be read in the so-called ENMOD Convention of the UN, 1977, the Environmental Modification Convention, or in the report "Weather as a Force Multiplier - Owning the Weather in 2025" of the US Air Force, published in 1996. These technologies have already been discussed twice in the European Parliament, in 1999 and 2013, until the EU Commission banned the EP from dealing with them further in 2016, because they are military questions (!). **The military activities that were and are concealed from the public, explain everything we observe in reality and what is generally referred to as "climate change". This is the result of decades of war against the earth and its transformation into a literal "weapon of war" of the military in the East and the West.**

Rosalie Bertell, who came to Germany from the USA in 2010, not long before her death, assisting the 30th anniversary of the Right Livelihood Award, therefore called for a discussion on the topic among her colleagues, who were also award-winners, shouting:

**"It is not CO2! It is the military!"**

And she hung out a petition, which was signed by all those present. It reads:

**"It is morally reprehensible and a declaration of war on mankind and the earth to intervene in the normal functioning of the planetary order by causing or intensifying storms, hurricanes, tsunamis, monsoons, landslides, droughts, floods, earthquakes or volcanic eruptions!"**

So, if you and your movement want to get out of your confusion about the real problems of our planet and the unreflected adoption of the slogans from above, and if you want to approach the truth and do what Mother Earth needs now, namely our solidarity because of what is done to her all the time, then take care that you know what it is all about and fight against it. For that is what determines our future, and not CO<sub>2</sub>, which belongs to nature and which you instead portray as its enemy!

Why all this is so twisted, why you are denied the true knowledge and what the CO<sub>2</sub> propaganda is about, all this you will have to find out for and by yourself. Because there are those interests behind, against which you supposedly compete with your movement. These interests are the ones that finance and organize everything worldwide on a large scale: Your weekly Fridays for Future-Demos, the "doomsday parties" as I call them, together with the "Die-ins", an anticipated dying practice - don't you realize what a perversion this is? They are the ones who produce and provide your regional offices

worldwide, who organize the big spectacles, for example with famous pianists, the movies, videos, media work, propaganda material and all that - do they do it, because they like you so much?

5. These interests need you and need you to draw the youth and especially the women to their side! For women have always addressed the subject of nature and ecology more than men, simply because they are historically and physically more connected to them. This is now being exploited by you being the ones to represent the new plans and interests of big Capital, to promote them and to ensure the implementation of their goals. It is you who are supposed to propagate a kind of "cultural revolution" so that the current growth- and energy-crisis of capitalism can be overcome, an additional business model can be built up, and the new start of the system can take place profitably and on a technologically more modern, more efficient, but also narrower (!) basis - of course leaving behind a pile of shattered remains in the form of the "old" society, which must first be smashed and destroyed! How else could that work, namely without you, and thus without provoking the uprising - and this time one for a truly anti-capitalist society for all? So, your role is to spare them such a true upheaval!

Why are you helping them?

It is wonderful that the young people are enthusiastic about Mother Earth. **I have waited a long time for this to occur. But strangely enough, what you are doing now is not a blessing for Mother Earth, but her mockery!** What you have done so far is the reverse of what is needed. It is indeed its reversal.

Don't you notice at all, Greta and the people inspired by her, what you have gotten yourself into?

You will be very disappointed to see which interests you are really serving, namely those who are responsible for the state of the earth you are complaining about while believing to be a power for the good. Don't let yourself be incited against the generation that raised you and against the generation that you yourself could raise, because they allegedly leave a "carbon footprint" that should be avoided at all costs. This would mean to accuse life itself instead of accusing those who destroy it!

But now you can perhaps also explain to yourselves the discomfort which you may already feel because of these confusions. Your face, Greta, shows it anyway.

So, don't let yourself be abused any longer for the opposite of what you want to stand up for, by people who have everything but the good of Mother Earth in mind, and even work on her destruction! It would have been a gigantic mistake, a futile effort and a loss of time that we all desperately need to really stand up for our planet. The clock is ticking, but not for the reduction of CO2!

Conclusion:

You, Greta, and all those who are moving on with you, have missed the point and unwittingly told the world a lie. You want to enforce a policy that benefits neither the earth nor its weather or climate, but the future and the profits of certain investors and corporations, as well as the demolition of social structures and existences that no longer bring any profits. Finally, you have distracted attention from the destructions that have been increasingly perpetrated on the earth for decades and that are being added to those already known, being the ones committed by the military - on the ground, in the water and in the air, and more recently also from space. This way you are preventing the accompanying, now

increasingly massive dangers for life on earth and the earth herself from finally being seen, recognized and answered at all.

You're doing Earth a disservice. But there is still time to turn around and understand and address the real problems instead of the fake ones!

I fear, however, that "they" will not allow it.

Prof. Dr. Claudia von Werlhof, Planetary Movement for Mother Earth, Austria

I would like to thank the PBME Discussion Group for their many comments and the committed debate and cooperation in the drafting of this letter!

Portuguese, Spanish, Italian, Japanese and Chinese versions of this letter are in preparation.

#### Links and literature:

(1): The money behind "Fridays for Future" and the „Green New Deal“

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/climate-money-trail/5690209>

(2) on 5G

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/the-appeal>

<https://eluxemagazine.com/magazine/dangers-of-5g/>

(3) on CO2

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=52Mx0\\_8YEtg&feature=share](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=52Mx0_8YEtg&feature=share)

German Office on the Environment, Umweltbundesamt, on the amount of CO2:

<https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/daten/klima/atmosphaerische-treibhausgas-konzentrationen#textpart-1>

Critique of the CO2-thesis: <https://needtoknow.news/2019/09/top-level-climate-modeler-spills-the-beans-on-the-nonsense-of-global-warming-crisis/?print=print>

[https://www.epochtimes.de/assets/uploads/2019/09/Erster-Offener-Brief-an-Klimakabinett\\_Prof-Doehler1.pdf](https://www.epochtimes.de/assets/uploads/2019/09/Erster-Offener-Brief-an-Klimakabinett_Prof-Doehler1.pdf)

The farmer and his climate: [https://youtu.be/KbGBcL3x\\_8s](https://youtu.be/KbGBcL3x_8s)

(4) Scientists against the IPCC

<https://www.cato.org/sites/cato.org/files/serials/files/regulation/1992/4/v15n2-9.pdf>

<https://www.cato.org/publications/commentary/political-assault-climate-skeptics>

PBME: 14. Info-Letter 2018, [www.pbme-online.or](http://www.pbme-online.or)

<https://www.mmnews.de/vermishtes/130317-stanford-professor-widerlegt-co2-theorie-medien-schweigen>

(5) Forest fires

California, October 2017:

<https://www.epochtimes.de/politik/welt/offizielle-version-geoengineering-gibt-es-chemtrails-nicht-und-feuer-die-haeuser-pulverisieren-aber-baeume-verschonem-a2257258.html>

Portugal June 2017

<https://www.epochtimes.de/politik/europa/waldbrand-in-portugal-unerklaerlich-heiss-und-schwer-zu-loeschen-hat-geoengineering-damit-zu-tun-a2148244.html>

(6) SRM – Solar Radiation Management

Andrew Johnson: <https://www.checktheevidence.com/wordpress/2017/09/24/book-climate-change-and-global-warming-exposed-hidden-evidence-disguised-plans/>

(7) Ozone depletion

<https://ethz.ch/en/news-and-events/eth-news/news/2018/02/decline-stratospheric-ozone.html>

<http://www.atomicarchive.com/Effects/effects22.shtml>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2291128/>

<https://www.nti.org/gsn/article/limited-nuclear-war-could-deplete-ozone-layer-increasing-radiation/>

PBME: 13. Info-Letter, [www.pbme-online.org](http://www.pbme-online.org)

Claudia von Werlhof: [www.NoGeoingegneria.com/news-eng/the-moment-of-truth-has-come/](http://www.NoGeoingegneria.com/news-eng/the-moment-of-truth-has-come/)

16. Aprile 2018; and in NRhZ, Cologne 25.4.2018

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01616832/document>

(8) Uranium ammunition

Frieder Wagner: Todesstaub Made in USA. Uranmunition versucht die Welt. Wien, Promedia 2019

<https://mediashop.at/buecher/todesstaub-made-in-usa/>

(9) The other knowledge

Rosalie Bertell: Planet Earth. The Latest Weapon of War, London, The Womens' Press 2000/ Toronto, Black Rose 2001

\_\_\_\_\_: Kriegswaffe Planet Erde, Gelnhausen, J.K.Fischer Verlag, 4. Auflage 2018

\_\_\_\_\_: Planeta Tierra – la Nueva Guerra, Guadalajara, Mexiko, La Casa del Mago 2018

\_\_\_\_\_: Pianeta Terra. L'ultima arma di guerra, Trieste, Asterios 2018

\_\_\_\_\_: La Planète Terre, ultime arme de guerre, Paris, Talma 2018



Elana Freeland: Under an Ionized Sky, Port Townsend, Feral House 2018

Jacob Darwin Hamblin: Arming Mother Nature. The Birth of Catastrophic Environmentalism, New York 2013, Oxford University Press

Patrick Pasin: L' Arme Climatique. La Manipulation du Climat par les Militaires, Paris, Talma 2018

Claudia von Werlhof: The Latest Challenge: „Military Alchemy“ as a Dystopia for Planet Earth, in: The Failure of Modern Civilization and the Struggle for a „Deep“ Alternative, Frankfurt a.M./New York, 2011, Peter Lang, pp 269-301

\_\_\_\_\_ <https://www.nogeingegneria.com/news-eng/earth-as-weapon-geo-engineering-as-war/>

\_\_\_\_\_ (Ed.): Global Warning! Geoengineering is Wrecking our Planet, Dublin, Talma 2019 (forthcoming)

[www.fipaz.at](http://www.fipaz.at): Bumerang, Journal for the Critique of Patriarchy

[www.pbme-online.org](http://www.pbme-online.org): Info-Letters